

ZnO Etching and Microtunnel Fabrication for High-Reliability MEMS Acoustic Sensor

Mahanth Prasad, Vineet Sahula, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Vinod Kumar Khanna

Abstract—This paper describes a technique for uniform step coverage of aluminum metal (Al) on ZnO film in the fabrication of MEMS acoustic sensor. The MEMS acoustic sensors were fabricated by etching ZnO layer in three different etchants: HCl, NH₄Cl with electrolytically added copper ions, and NH₄OH with electrolytically added copper ions. For the first time, a technique is reported, which uses aqueous NH₄OH solution with electrolytically added copper ions for etching of ZnO layer. For reliable operation of the device, the electrical testing of Al step coverage on ZnO layer was performed. The maximum currents that can be drawn across Al-deposited ZnO edge etched by HCl, Cu-added NH₄Cl, and Cu-added NH₄OH were 40 mA, 2.5 A, and 3.0 A respectively, without any damage to the structures. The investigations show that uniform Al step coverage on ZnO layer is obtained in case of NH₄OH with electrolytically added copper ions. During fabrication of the device, a novel technique for building a microtunnel for pressure compensation was also developed. This microtunnel is used to compensate the pressure applied on the silicon diaphragm by connecting the cavity to the atmosphere. To realize the smooth inlet of microtunnel in the cavity, photoresist SU8 was used for patterning the cavity after microtunnel etching. The developed technique for microtunnel fabrication reduces the process complexity, providing improved yield of the device. The packaged device performed satisfactorily in the sound pressure level (SPL) of 120–160 dB over a wide frequency range of 30–8000 Hz. The maximum sensitivity of the sensor was measured as 380 $\mu\text{V}/\text{Pa}$.

Index Terms—Electrolytic copper addition, ZnO film, bulk micromachining, Si-diaphragm, TMAH.

I. INTRODUCTION

PIEZOELECTRIC zinc oxide (ZnO) films have played an important role in the field of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), both bulk micromachined [1], [2] and surface micromachined [3], [4], due to their piezoelectric properties and excellent compatibility with various substrate materials [5]. ZnO films have been used in MEMS devices such as film-bulk acoustic-wave-resonators [6], surface-acoustic-wave resonators [7], and acoustic sensors [8], [9]. Many deposition techniques have been developed for deposition of high-quality ZnO films such as plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) [10], [11], vacuum sputtering [12], [13], molecular beam

Manuscript received February 19, 2013; revised June 12, 2013; accepted June 12, 2013. Date of publication June 26, 2013; date of current version March 4, 2014. This work was supported by ISRO, Government of India in the form of research.

M. Prasad and V. K. Khanna are with the MEMS and Microsensors Group, CSIR-Central Electronics Engineering Research Institute, Pilani-333031, India (e-mail: mahanth.prasad@gmail.com; vkk_ceeri@yahoo.com).

V. Sahula is with the Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur-302017, India (e-mail: sahula@ieee.org).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TDMR.2013.2271245

epitaxy [14], pulsed laser deposition [15], [16] and metalorganic chemical vapor deposition [17]. While fabricating ZnO-based devices, where the film thickness is higher ($> 1.0 \mu\text{m}$) [18], [19], the fine patterning of the film with positive slope is difficult. In order to etch ZnO layer with fine pattern, a number of dry etching techniques have been reported [20]–[23]. However, a metal mask is required in these techniques to etch ZnO layer, because photoresist gets decomposed when exposed to plasma for long durations. After ZnO etching from an unwanted area, it is difficult to remove the metal mask because most metal etchants attack ZnO. Instead, a wet etching technique with a photoresist mask is always preferred to pattern ZnO films. Moreover, compared with dry etching, the wet etching technique has the advantages of simplicity and low cost. The wet etching of polycrystalline ZnO film (deposited by sputtering technique) with different acids and bases, such as HCl, H₂SO₄, HBr, HNO₃, and H₃PO₄ [3], [24], [25], produces negative slope or hanging structures at the edge of the ZnO layer because the lateral etch rate is several times higher than the vertical etch rate due to preferential orientation of the grain. This leads to a major problem of step coverage during fabrication of devices [26], [27]. In [26] and [27], the ZnO etching was done using NH₄Cl with electrolytically added copper ions but the effects of copper addition were not reported. Also, in [27], the electrical testing of Al step coverage on ZnO was not given.

In this paper, the ZnO-based MEMS acoustic sensor was fabricated by etching ZnO layer in three different solutions: HCl, NH₄Cl with electrolytically added copper ions and a novel etchant, NH₄OH with electrolytically added copper ions, separately. The etching properties of ZnO films and electrical testing of fabricated structures by these solutions were studied. Herein, NH₄OH solution with electrolytically added copper ions is proposed as a promising etchant for ZnO etching because of its uniform and controllable ZnO etching. Most strong acids dissolve ZnO, even at low concentration, due to hydronium (H₃O⁺) ions attacking the oxygen in ZnO [28]. In comparison to strong acids, a weak acid NH₄Cl can provide a proper level of hydronium ion concentration for controlled etching of ZnO layer. In a similar way, a weak base NH₄OH with copper ions in the solution can etch ZnO layer in a controlled manner. The present research on ZnO films mainly focuses on how to etch ZnO film with excellent etch front slope and etch rate. However, the ZnO films are reactive materials and sensitive to temperature, acids, bases and even water [5]. By suspending the ZnO deposited samples in the horizontal direction in a 20% NH₄Cl solution, positive slope ($\sim 90^\circ$) was obtained at the edge of the ZnO film [26]. Therefore, for better etch front slope, the samples were kept in the horizontal direction in different etching solutions.




Fig. 1. Schematic view of MEMS acoustic sensor.




Fig. 2. Process flow up to tunnel opening in the cavity.

A schematic view of ZnO-based MEMS acoustic sensor is shown in Fig. 1. The structure consists of a ZnO film covered with thin PECVD SiO₂ layer from both the top and bottom sides. The SiO₂-ZnO-SiO₂ structure itself is sandwiched between aluminum electrodes. The complete structure Al-SiO₂-ZnO-SiO₂-Al lies over 25 μm-thick silicon diaphragm covered with 0.5 μm-thick thermal SiO₂ layer. A microtunnel which relates the cavity to the atmosphere is used for pressure compensation. The microtunnel fabrication involves a complex process, which needs simplification.

In recent years, microtunnels have been widely used in microfluidic and microelectromechanical systems [29], [30]. Bhusary *et al.* [31] has demonstrated the fabrication of air channel structures for microfluidic, microelectromechanical and microelectronic applications. In MEMS acoustic sensor, microtunnel is used to compensate the applied pressure on the diaphragm. Different materials have been used by researchers to fabricate the microchannels: like polynorborene (PNB) [31], zeolite [32], silicon [33] and SU8 [34]. The fabrication of microtunnel in these materials besides silicon is mostly incompatible with CMOS processing because these resists cannot withstand the required high temperatures (800–1100 °C). Arora *et al.* [35] have fabricated a microtunnel for pressure compensation along with a deep cavity in silicon for MEMS acoustic sensor. Reference [35] is the only paper describing tunnel fabrication for pressure compensation in MEMS acoustic sensor using planar silicon wafer. In remaining research papers, acoustic holes are made.




Fig. 3. Fabrication flow for ZnO-based MEMS acoustic sensor.




Fig. 4. Mask layout of acoustic sensor using: (a) earlier technique, and (b) proposed technique.

The process flow up to tunnel opening in the cavity introduced in [35] is shown in Fig. 2. The following problems exist in aforesaid process: (i) The large number of fabrication steps in this lengthy process severely degrades the final yield of acoustic sensor chips. (ii) The microtunnel is designed in a way that it slightly overlaps the diaphragm area. During silicon dioxide removal from microtunnel area, the buffered hydrofluoric acid (BHF) also attacks the overlapping area and damages LPCVD silicon nitride covering the diaphragm area. Therefore, in diaphragm fabrication, most of the cavities have non-uniform etch depth because overlapping area is already etched up to the depth of microtunnel, creating holes in diaphragms. (iii) During etching of oxide layer (below silicon nitride) from diaphragm area, the silicon dioxide from other areas including tunnel is also removed at the same rate. Therefore, the thickness of the masking layer silicon dioxide is reduced which creates the holes in diaphragm as well as in microtunnel during deep cavity etching. (iv) LPCVD silicon nitride is mandatory in this technique which increases process complexity.




Fig. 5. Bulk micromachining of silicon for diaphragm fabrication: (a) tunnel covered with SU8-2025, (b) lateral etching of silicon in TMAH solution, and (c) structure after removal of overhanging SiO_2 layer.

This paper presents a novel technique: (1) to avoid the pin holes in silicon diaphragm, (2) to avoid the LPCVD silicon nitride deposition, and (3) to reduce the total number of process steps from 21 to 17 in fabrication of MEMS acoustic sensor. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: We elaborate a novel technique for microtunnel formation and device fabrication by etching ZnO layer in different etchants in Section II. The discussion of results is given in Section III. We conclude in Section IV.

II. EXPERIMENT

The fabrication flow of the MEMS acoustic sensor including proposed technique for microtunnel formation is shown in Fig. 3. The microtunnel opening in the cavity is fabricated from steps 1 to 8. ZnO patterning and etching are done in step 12. The complete fabrication of the device consists of six mask levels as follows: mask#1 for microtunnel, mask#2 for Si-diaphragm, mask#3 for bottom electrodes, mask#4 for ZnO patterning, mask#5 for top electrodes and mask#6 for pad opening. For optimum sensitivity of the device, two capacitors of values 80 pF and 140 pF were located on center and outer edge of the diaphragm, respectively. Microtunnel width and silicon diaphragm area were taken as $100 \mu\text{m}$ and $3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$, respectively. The fabrication of acoustic sensor was started with 4-in diameter *P*-type<100> silicon wafers. The resistivity of wafers was 10–20 ohm.cm. Oxidation of these wafers was done at 1000°C . The thickness of SiO_2 layer was measured using Dektak 6M surface profiler and found to be $0.5 \mu\text{m}$.

After the above step, the details of experimental work are divided into four subsections. In Subsection II-A, the novel technique for microtunnel fabrication is described. Subsections II-B, II-C and II-D present the fabrication of device by etching ZnO in different etchants.

A. Microtunnel Fabrication

The process flow for tunnel opening in the cavity using the proposed technique is shown in Fig. 3 (up to step no.8). The mask layout for microtunnel fabrication using earlier [35] and proposed techniques are shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b), respectively. As can be seen from Fig. 4(b), there is no overlap between cavity and microtunnel. The absence of overlapping area between diaphragm and tunnel reduces the number of unnecessary process steps from 12 (Fig. 2) to 08 (Fig. 3). In earlier technique, the microtunnel was slightly penetrating the




Fig. 6. XRD pattern of $3.0 \mu\text{m}$ -thick ZnO film.

diaphragm area, whereas in proposed technique, the microtunnel structure ends at the edge boundary of the diaphragm.

The fabrication of microtunnel was started with patterning of the oxidized-Si wafers using mask#1. Thermally grown SiO_2 layer from tunnel area was removed by BHF. After removal of SiO_2 layer, bulk micromachining of silicon was done for microtunnel using 25% tetra methyl ammonium hydroxide (TMAH) solution. The etching time and temperature of solution were kept as 2 hours 25 min and 70°C , respectively. A smooth structure, $35.2 \mu\text{m}$ deep and $100 \mu\text{m}$ wide, has been successfully fabricated in silicon substrate. After fabricating the structure for microtunnel, silicon dioxide layer ($1.2 \mu\text{m}$ thick) was grown at 1000°C . This layer acts as a masking layer during deep cavity etching. First, photoresist S1818 was tried to protect the microtunnel area during diaphragm patterning. It was observed that the structure is damaged during cavity etching for diaphragm formation, the reason being incomplete step coverage of microtunnel structure using photoresist S1818. Even use of thin photoresist S1813 and thick photoresist SU8-2010 could not improve results.

Finally, photoresist SU8-2025 was tried while patterning the cavity area for silicon diaphragm. It is found that the $35.2 \mu\text{m}$ deep and $100 \mu\text{m}$ wide acoustic microtunnel structure including curved areas was completely covered with photoresist SU8-2025 [Fig. 5(a)]. Reactive ion etching (RIE) process was tried for removal of silicon dioxide from the diaphragm area. Consequently, a sharper well-defined boundary of diaphragm area is formed after removal of silicon dioxide. After removal of SiO_2 layer from diaphragm, a cavity of approximately $480 \mu\text{m}$ depth was etched in 25% TMAH solution for formation of silicon diaphragm. In the present approach, the mask design for microtunnel and diaphragm does not make the alignment critical




Fig. 7. ZnO etching in HCl solution: (a) variation of hydronium ion concentration with solution concentration, and (b) variation of ZnO etch rate with solution concentration.




Fig. 8. ZnO etching in NH₄Cl solution: (a) etching setup for electrolytic addition of copper, (b) variation of hydronium ions with solution concentration (with and without Cu-added ions), and (c) variation of ZnO etch rate in NH₄Cl with Cu-added ions at different solution concentrations.


because lateral etching of silicon in TMAH is sufficient to open the tunnel to the cavity. This can be seen from Fig. 5(b), where the silicon has been laterally etched and overhanging SiO₂ is left behind. Etch rate of the 25% TMAH solution at 70 °C was determined for (100) plane to be 15 μm/hr and for (111) as 1.3 μm/hr. The undercut per unit depth was found to be 0.106. The silicon dioxide from back side of wafers was removed for anodic bonding. Fig. 5(c) shows the SEM image of backside of structure after removal of overhanging SiO₂ layer. It was observed that the microtunnel is smoothly opened in the cavity. After successful fabrication of tunnel opening in the cavity, the two capacitors, one on the center (~80 pF) and another on the outer edge (~140 pF) of the diaphragm were fabricated. For this purpose, Al of 1.0 μm thickness was deposited on front side of these wafers using sputtering technique. Patterning of Al was done using mask#3 for bottom electrode. Then, PECVD SiO₂ of approximately 0.3 μm-thickness was deposited. After PECVD SiO₂ deposition, these wafers were subjected to ZnO deposition.

A highly *c*-axis oriented ZnO film was deposited by RF magnetron sputtering technique with a zinc target (with purity of 99.99%). The process parameters were as follows: RF power = 550 W, pressure = 20 mtorr, gas composition = Ar and O₂ in 40:60 ratio and deposition time = 5 hours. Thickness of ZnO layer was measured by creating a step in the film and found to be 3.0–3.5 μm. The XRD pattern of ZnO thin film on a bare silicon wafer is shown in Fig. 6. The only one peak corresponding to (002) reflection of wurtzite phase of ZnO shows the film growth highly oriented along *c*-axis normal to the substrate. At an angle of $2\theta = 34.4^\circ$, the ZnO film grains have good orientation. After deposition, photolithography was

done on these wafers using mask#4 for ZnO etching. ZnO film has the dimension 3.1 mm × 3.1 mm. The ZnO layer was then etched in different solutions as follows.

B. Fabrication of Device by Etching ZnO in HCl

First, HCl was used to etch ZnO film at different solution concentrations (the process was carried out at room temperature). The chemical reaction of ZnO [36], [37] can be described by (1)



It is observed from the above equation that the strong acid attacks the oxygen in ZnO and reduces ZnO to Zn. The generation of hydronium ions with solution concentration in case of HCl is shown in Fig. 7(a). It is observed that, at very low concentration (0.05%) of solution, the hydronium ion concentration is 0.0116228 mole/L. As we increase the solution concentration, the concentration of hydronium ions increases. The hydronium ion concentration at 2% solution concentration is found to be 0.199526 mole/L. This implies that the number of hydronium ions increases with increasing solution concentration which leads to uncontrolled ZnO etching even at lower concentration of solutions in case of strong acids. The variation of ZnO etch rate in case of HCl is plotted in Fig. 7(b). It is found that the etch rate increases with increasing concentration of acid solution. During fabrication of the device, the ZnO layer was etched in 0.25% HCl solution concentration. After etching ZnO, 0.3 μm-thick PECVD SiO₂ and then 1.0 μm-thick Al layer for top electrodes were deposited. The passivation layer deposition and then RIE for pad opening were done. Finally, anodic bonding was done before dicing of fabricated wafer.




Fig. 9. ZnO etching in NH₄OH solution: (a) etching setup for electrolytic addition of copper in solution, (b) variation of hydroxide ions with Cu-added solution concentration, and (c) variation of ZnO etch rate with Cu-added solution concentration.







Fig. 10. Electrical testing of structure fabricated by etching ZnO in HCl solution: (a) SEM photograph of the structure, and (b) I - V characteristic of Al-deposited on ZnO step.

C. Fabrication of Device by Etching ZnO in NH₄Cl Solution With Cu Addition

Now, the NH₄Cl was used to etch ZnO film at different solution concentrations (the process was carried out at room temperature). NH₄Cl solutions of different concentration were prepared by dissolving NH₄Cl powder (99.99% purity) in deionized water. The reactions of ZnO (solid state) with NH₄Cl solution as described in [38], are reproduced in following set of equations:



Increased concentration of solution results in larger number of hydronium ions. For electrochemical etching of ZnO layer, copper ions were added to NH₄Cl solution in an electrolytic cell [Fig. 8(a)]. The electrolytic cell consisted of two parallel electrodes, one for anode and another for cathode. An electrical current was passed through the solution until the solution became blue in color indicating that copper has been electrolytically added to the solution. In order to get a good etch front slope of ZnO layer, an etching setup [26] was prepared, and the etching experiments were done by suspending the wafers in horizontal direction in the aqueous NH₄Cl solution with electrolytically added copper ions. The reactions of ZnO (solid state) with










Fig. 11. Al step coverage on ZnO edge produced by NH₄Cl with electrolytically added Cu ions.

electrolytically added copper ions in NH₄Cl solution, described in [28], are reproduced in the following set of equations:






Fig. 12. Electrical testing of structure fabricated by etching ZnO in 20% NH_4Cl solution with electrolytically added Cu ions: (a) SEM photograph of the structure after passing high current, (b) enlarged view of Al breakage on ZnO step, and (c) I - V characteristic of Al-deposited ZnO step.

Fig. 8(b) shows the variation in hydronium ion generation with solution concentration. It is observed that the hydronium ion concentration in copper-added solution ($= 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ mole/L) is 1995 times less than in the solution without copper ions ($= 6981.1 \times 10^{-7}$ mole/L) for 20% concentration at normal temperature. Therefore, the experiment without Cu addition was discontinued. The ZnO etch rate with solution concentration at normal temperature in case of NH_4Cl solutions with copper addition is plotted in Fig. 8(c). It is observed that the etch rate increases with increasing solution concentration. A good etch rate of $0.18 \mu\text{m}/\text{min}$ was obtained at 20% solution concentration. For controlled ZnO etching, a low concentration of hydronium ions is desired [28]. Therefore, we have selected 20% concentration of solution, and the ZnO layer was etched in this solution during the fabrication of device. After etching ZnO layer using this solution, the remaining process steps were completed using process flowchart (Fig. 3).

D. Fabrication of Device by Etching ZnO in NH_4OH Solution With Cu Addition

30% NH_4OH solution was used for ZnO etching, but the etching rate was too small, approximately $0.3 \mu\text{m}/\text{hour}$. Therefore, for ZnO etching, a weak base NH_4OH solution with electrolytically added copper ions [Fig. 9(a)] was proposed. The blue color of solution was observed, implying the generation of compound $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4]_{(aq)}^{2+}$ (Blue). Now, ZnO-deposited wafers were etched in this solution by suspending them in horizontal direction. The variation of hydroxide ion concentration of the NH_4OH solution with Cu-added ions was measured at different concentrations; the same is shown in Fig. 9(b).

It was observed that, when the concentration increases from 15% to 25%, there is a small variation in hydroxide ion concentration ($= 5.0 \times 10^{-13}$ mole/L to 2.5×10^{-13} mole/L) in comparison to NH_4Cl with electrolytically added Cu ions ($= 2.0 \times 10^{-7}$ mole/L to 5.0×10^{-7} mole/L). Also, the hydroxide ion concentration in case of NH_4OH with electrolytically added Cu ions ($= 3.98 \times 10^{-13}$ mole/L) is very small in comparison to hydronium ion concentration in case of Cu-added NH_4Cl ($= 3.58 \times 10^{-7}$ mole/L) at same concentration (20%) of solution. The variation of etch rates with concentration of solution is shown in Fig. 9(c). It is observed that, as the concentration varies from 15% to 25%, the ZnO etch rate varies from 0.16 to $0.28 \mu\text{m}/\text{min}$. Finally, 20% NH_4OH solution




Fig. 13. Al step coverage on ZnO edge produced by NH_4OH with electrolytically added Cu ions.

with electrolytically added Cu ions was selected for etching ZnO layer during fabrication of acoustic sensor. After etching ZnO layer using this solution, the remaining process steps were completed according to process flowchart (Fig. 3).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The electrical reliability of step coverage in acoustic sensor fabricated by etching ZnO layer in different etchants was tested as follows.

A. ZnO Etched by HCl

A SEM image of the device structure fabricated using this technique is shown in Fig. 10(a). Figure shows that the etching takes place in such a way that ZnO edge moves inwards toward bottom surface (instead of outward in positive slope). Because of negative slope, during deposition of Al (for top electrodes), the ZnO step is not fully covered with Al. The overall result is that the Al film is formed as a hanging structure which does not touch the bottom surface of ZnO film. The discontinuity in Al layer on ZnO step can be easily seen from this figure. The mechanism for the negative slope formation is that the lateral etch rate is higher than vertical etch rate in case of strong acidic solutions even at lower concentration. As the etchant concentration increases, the hydronium ion concentration increases. This increase in hydronium ions is responsible for negative slope of ZnO layer. To perform the electrical testing of the fabricated device, two probes were placed, first one on the Al film below which the ZnO layer was etched in the solution and the second




Fig. 14. Electrical testing of structure fabricated by etching ZnO in 20% NH₄OH solution with electrolytically added Cu ions: (a) SEM photograph of structure after passing high current, (b) enlarged view of Al breakage around probe 1, and (c) enlarged view of Al breakage around probe 2.

on the Al-deposited ZnO film. Electric current from a power supply was passed through the Al film from probe 1 to probe 2. The current was slowly increased in steps to determine the maximum current that the Al film could sustain. The *I*–*V* characteristic across Al-deposited over ZnO edge, etched by strong acid (HCl), is plotted in Fig. 10(b).

It was observed that the maximum current drawn across Al-deposited ZnO edge is 40 mA without any damage to the structure. When we pass the current above the maximum limit, the structure is damaged, and the circuit becomes open, i.e., the current passing through the step becomes zero. Therefore, the electrical testing of the structure indicates the poor step coverage of ZnO edge in case of strong acid HCl.

B. ZnO Etched by NH₄Cl With Electrolytically Added Cu Ions

A SEM photograph of device structure fabricated using this technique is shown in Fig. 11. To perform the electrical testing of fabricated device using NH₄Cl with Cu-added solution for ZnO etching, two probes were placed, first one on the Al film below which the ZnO layer was etched in the solution and the second on the Al-deposited ZnO film [Fig. 12(a)]. Electric current from a power supply was passed through the Al film from probe 1 to probe 2. The current was slowly increased in steps to determine the maximum current that the Al film could sustain. It was observed that the maximum current drawn across Al-deposited ZnO edge is 2.5 A without any damage to the structure. When we pass the current above the maximum limit, the structure is damaged, and the circuit becomes open, i.e., the current passing through the step becomes zero. The enlarged view of Al breakage over ZnO step is shown in Fig. 12(b). The *I*–*V* characteristic across Al-deposited over ZnO edge is plotted in Fig. 12(c). The electrical testing of the structure indicates the good step coverage of ZnO edge in case of weak acid NH₄Cl with electrolytically added Cu ions.

C. ZnO Etched by NH₄OH With Electrolytically Added Cu Ions

A SEM photograph of device structure fabricated using this technique is shown in Fig. 13. A positive slope of ZnO edge and uniform Al step coverage were observed in this case. To perform the electrical testing, two probes were placed, first one on the Al film below which the ZnO layer was etched in the solution and the second on the Al-deposited ZnO film [Fig. 14(a)].




Fig. 15. *I*–*V* characteristic of Al-deposited ZnO step in case of 20% NH₄OH with electrolytically added Cu ions.

It was observed that the maximum current drawn across Al-deposited ZnO edge is approximately 3.0 A without any damage to the structure. When we pass the current above 3.0 A, the structure is damaged [Fig. 14] and the circuit becomes open, i.e., the current passing through the step becomes zero. The SEM images clearly show that the step area remains intact, although Al has spread out around the probe points at 3.0 A current. The breakage of Al around probe 1 and around probe 2 can be easily seen from Fig. 14(b) and (c), respectively. The lifting of Al from ZnO surface (around probe 2) can be seen from Fig. 14(c). The *I*–*V* characteristic across Al-deposited over ZnO edge is plotted in Fig. 15. The electrical testing of the structure indicates the much better step coverage of ZnO edge in case of Cu-added NH₄OH as compared to Cu-added NH₄Cl and strong acid HCl. A good etch rate and highly desirable positive slope both were observed in case of 20% NH₄OH solution with electrolytically added copper ions at room temperature. The ZnO etching features of NH₄OH with electrolytically added Cu ions, NH₄Cl with electrolytically added Cu ions and HCl are compared in Table I.

D. Response of the Device

The fabricated MEMS acoustic sensors on 4-in silicon wafer are shown in Fig. 16(a). The fabricated wafer was diced using double spindle dicing machine. The microtunnel is opened to the atmosphere during dicing of fabricated wafer. A SEM image of microtunnel opening to the atmosphere is shown in Fig. 16(b). The packaged device [Fig. 16(c)] performed satisfactorily within SPL range 120–160 dB over a wide bandwidth 30–8000 Hz with varying acoustic pressure [Fig. 17]. The maximum sensitivity of the sensor was found to be 380 μV/Pa.

TABLE I
COMPARISON OF ZnO ETCHING USING NH₄OH WITH ELECTROLYTICALLY ADDED Cu IONS,
NH₄Cl WITH ELECTROLYTICALLY ADDED Cu IONS AND HCl SOLUTIONS

Sl. No.	Features	NH ₄ OH with copper addition	NH ₄ Cl with copper addition	HCl
1.	ZnO etch rate	~0.17 μm/min in 20% solution concentration at normal temperature	~0.18 μm/min in 20% solution concentration at normal temperature	~3.6 μm/min in 0.25% solution concentration
2.	Etch front slope	Positive	Vertical	Negative
3.	H ⁺ /OH ⁻ ion concentration	3.98 × 10 ⁻¹³ mole/L (20% solution concentration)	3.58 × 10 ⁻⁷ mole/L (20% solution concentration)	0.04 mole/L (0.25% solution concentration)
4.	Maximum electric current pass through Al covered ZnO step	~3.0 A (ZnO etched in 20% solution concentration)	~2.5 A (ZnO etched in 20% solution concentration)	~40 mA (ZnO etched in 0.25% solution concentration)




Fig. 16. Fabricated MEMS acoustic sensor: (a) on 4-in silicon wafer, (b) SEM images of microtunnel opening to the atmosphere, and (c) packaged device for testing.




Fig. 17. Output response of the device as a function of SPL

IV. CONCLUSION

The significant features of the proposed technique for microtunnel fabrication have been presented and discussed. On comparison, it has been observed that the process in proposed technique is simplified and indeed more reliable. Overall, the proposed technique can save approximately 40 hrs processing time. It gives better yield (~60%) in comparison to old technique (< 40%) because of absence of overlapping area between tunnel and cavity in the mask layout, and also through selective protection of masking layer by photolithography. In addition, many process steps including LPCVD silicon nitride are avoided leading to cost-effectiveness. Avoiding shortcomings of the earlier method, the proposed method can be safely

used for microtunnel formation in acoustic sensor, as well as other similar MEMS structures.

The MEMS acoustic sensors were fabricated by etching ZnO film in three different etchants: HCl, NH₄Cl with electrolytically added Cu ions and NH₄OH with electrolytically added Cu ions. We have proposed and evaluated a novel wet etchant NH₄OH with electrolytically added Cu ions and found that it is more suitable for etching ZnO film. The maximum current drawn across Al-deposited ZnO edge etched by HCl was 40 mA, that across Cu-added NH₄Cl was 2.5 A, and for Cu-added NH₄OH was 3.0 A. The current-carrying capability in case of HCl is lowest (~40 mA) because current flows only through some regions on the step, i.e., the step is partially conducting. The film is likely to breakdown during device operation, causing electrical failure. The current-carrying capability is improved with NH₄Cl and still further in case of NH₄OH with electrolytically added Cu ions. The same is also verified from SEM photographs of fabricated device. A good etch rate and highly desirable positive slope both were obtained in case of 20% NH₄OH with electrolytically added Cu ions. The packaged device performed well in wide frequency range 30 Hz to 8000 Hz, exhibiting a high sensitivity of 380 μV/Pa.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr. C. Shekhar, the Director, CSIR-CEERI, Pilani, for encouragement and guidance. They also gratefully acknowledge the help received from Prof. V. Gupta of Delhi University, Delhi for facilitating the zinc oxide deposition.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Scheeper, J. O. Gullov, and L. M. Kofoed, "A piezoelectric triaxial accelerometers," *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 131–133, Mar. 1996.
- [2] S. C. Ko, Y. C. Kim, S. S. Lee, S. H. Choi, and S. R. Kim, "Micro-machined piezoelectric membrane acoustic device," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 103, no. 1/2, pp. 130–134, Jan. 2003.
- [3] D. L. DeVoe and A. P. Pisano, "Surface micromachined piezoelectric accelerometers (PiXLs)," *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 180–186, Jun. 2001.
- [4] G. Percin and B. T. K. Yakub, "Piezoelectrically actuated flextensional micromachined ultrasound transducers," *Ultrasonics*, vol. 40, no. 1–8, pp. 441–448, May 2002.
- [5] T. Xu, G. Wu, G. Zhang, and Y. Hao, "The compatibility of ZnO piezoelectric film with micromachining process," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 61–67, Mar. 2003.
- [6] L. Mai, J. Y. Lee, V. S. Pham, and G. Yoon, "Design and fabrication of ZnO-based FBAR microwave devices for mobile WiMAX applications," *IEEE Microw. Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 867–869, Dec. 2007.
- [7] F. S. Hickernell, "The microstructural characteristic of thin-film zinc oxide SAW transducers," in *Proc. IEEE Ultrason. Symp.*, Nov. 1984, pp. 239–242.
- [8] P. R. Ried, E. S. Kim, M. H. David, and S. R. Muller, "Piezoelectric microphone with on-chip CMOS circuits," *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 111–120, Sep. 1993.
- [9] W. S. Lee, Y. C. Kim, J. S. Lee, S. W. Lee, and S. S. Lee, "Fabrication of circular diaphragm for piezoelectric acoustic devices," *J. Semicond. Technol. Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 52–57, Jan./Feb. 2005.
- [10] B. S. Li, Y. C. Liu, Z. S. Chu, D. Z. Shen, Y. M. Lu, J. Y. Zhang, and X. W. Fan, "High quality ZnO thin films grown by plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 501–505, Jan. 2002.
- [11] M. D. Barankin, E. Gonzalez, II, A. M. Ladwig, and R. F. Hicks, "Plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition of zinc oxide at atmospheric pressure and low temperature," *Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells*, vol. 91, no. 10, pp. 924–930, Jun. 2007.
- [12] J. B. Lee, H. J. Kim, S. G. Kim, C. S. Hwang, S. H. Hong, Y. H. Shin, and N. H. Lee, "Deposition of ZnO thin films by magnetron sputtering for a film bulk acoustic resonator," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 435, no. 1/2, pp. 179–185, Jul. 2003.
- [13] D. J. Kang, J. S. Kim, S. W. Jeong, Y. Roh, S. H. Jeong, and J. H. Boo, "Structural and electrical characteristics of R.F. magnetron sputtered ZnO films," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 475, no. 1/2, pp. 160–165, Mar. 2005.
- [14] F. Vigue, P. Vennegues, S. Vezian, M. Laugt, and J. P. Faurie, "Defect characterization in ZnO layers grown by plasma-enhanced molecular-beam epitaxy on (0001) sapphire substrates," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 194–196, Jul. 2001.
- [15] S. L. King, J. G. E. Gardeniers, and Ian W. Boyd, "Pulsed-laser deposited ZnO for device applications," *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, vol. 96–98, pp. 811–818, Apr. 1996.
- [16] T. Ohshima, R. K. Thareja, T. Ikegami, and K. Ebihara, "Preparation of ZnO thin films on various substrates by pulsed laser deposition," *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, vol. 169/170, pp. 517–520, Jun. 2003.
- [17] S. H. Nam, S. H. Jeong, and J. H. Boo, "Growth behavior and characteristics of one dimensional ZnO nanostructures by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition," *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1648–1651, Feb. 2011.
- [18] M. Tomar, V. Gupta, K. Sreenivas, and A. Mansingh, "Temperature stability of ZnO thin film SAW device on fused quartz," *IEEE Trans. Device Mater. Reliab.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 494–500, Sep. 2005.
- [19] X. Y. Du, Y. Q. Fu, S. C. Tan, J. K. Luo, A. J. Flewitt, S. Maeng, S. H. Kim, Y. J. Choi, D. S. Lee, N. M. Park, J. Park, and W. I. Milne, "ZnO film for application in surface acoustic wave device," *J. Phys., Conf. Ser.*, vol. 76, no. 1, p. 012035, Sep. 2007.
- [20] J. M. Lee, K. M. Chang, K. K. Kim, W. K. Choi, and S. J. Park, "Dry etching of ZnO using an inductively coupled plasma," *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, vol. 148, no. 1, pp. G1–G3, 2001.
- [21] J. W. Bae, C. H. Jeong, H. K. Kim, K. K. Kim, N. G. Cho, T. Y. Seong, S. J. Park, I. Adesida, and G. Y. Yeom, "High-rate dry etching of ZnO in $\text{BCl}_3/\text{CH}_4/\text{H}_2$ plasmas," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 42, pt. 2, no. 5B, pp. L535–L537, May 2003.
- [22] H. K. Kim, J. W. Bae, K. K. Kim, S. J. Park, T. Y. Seong, and I. Adesida, "Inductively-coupled-plasma reactive ion etching of ZnO using BCl_3 -based plasmas and effect of the plasma treatment on Ti/Au ohmic contacts to ZnO," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 447/448, pp. 90–94, Jan. 2004.
- [23] M. Mehta, M. Ruth, and K. A. Piegdon, "Inductively coupled plasma reactive ion etching of bulk ZnO single crystal and molecular beam epitaxy grown ZnO films," *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 2097–2101, Sep./Oct. 2009.
- [24] M. S. Wu, A. Azuma, T. Shiosaki, and A. Kawabata, "Low-loss ZnO optical waveguides for SAW-AO applications," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect. Freq. Control*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 442–445, Jul. 1989.
- [25] J. G. E. Gardeniers, Z. M. Rittersma, and G. J. Burger, "Preferred orientation and piezoelectricity in sputtered ZnO films," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 83, no. 12, pp. 7844–7854, Jun. 1998.
- [26] M. Prasad, R. P. Yadav, V. Sahula, V. K. Khanna, and C. Shekhar, "Controlled chemical etching of ZnO film for step coverage in MEMS acoustic sensor," *J. Microelectromech. Syst. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 517–519, Jun. 2012.
- [27] M. Prasad, V. Sahula, and V. K. Khanna, "Design and fabrication of Si-diaphragm, ZnO piezoelectric film-based MEMS acoustic sensor using SOI wafers," *IEEE Trans. Semicond. Manuf.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 233–241, May 2013.
- [28] J. W. Kwon and E. S. Kim, "Fine ZnO patterning with controlled sidewall etch front slope," *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 603–609, Jun. 2005.
- [29] J. D. Bradley and C. W. Bruce, "Novel MEA platform with PDMS microtunnels enables detection of action potential propagation from isolated axons in culture," *Lab Chip*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 404–410, Feb. 2009.
- [30] D. Kim, D. W. Lee, W. Choi, and J. B. Lee, "A super-lyophobic PDMS micro-tunnel as a novel microfluidic platform for oxidized galinstan," in *Proc. IEEE MEMS Conf.*, Paris, France, Jan. 29–Feb. 2, 2012, pp. 1005–1008.
- [31] D. Bhusari, H. A. Reed, M. Wedlake, A. M. Padovani, S. A. B. Allen, and P. A. Kohl, "Fabrication of air-channel structures for microfluidic, microelectromechanical, and microelectronic applications," *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 400–408, Sep. 2001.
- [32] J. L. H. Chau and K. L. Yeung, "Zeolite microtunnels and microchannels," *Chem. Commun.*, vol. 9, pp. 960–961, 2002.
- [33] H. J. G. E. Gardeniers, R. Lutge, E. J. W. Berenschot, M. J. de Boer, S. Y. Yeshurun, M. Hefetz, R. V. Oever, and A. V. D. Berg, "Silicon micromachined hollow microneedles for transdermal liquid transport," *J. Microelectromech. Syst.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 855–862, Dec. 2003.
- [34] C. Fu, C. Hung, and H. Huang, "A novel and simple fabrication method of embedded SU-8 micro channels by direct UV lithography," *J. Phys., Conf. Ser.*, vol. 34, no. 34, pp. 330–335, May 2006.
- [35] A. Arora, A. Arora, V. K. Dwivedi, P. J. George, K. Sreenivas, and V. Gupta, "Zinc oxide thin film-based MEMS acoustic sensor with tunnel for pressure compensation," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 141, no. 2, pp. 256–261, Feb. 2008.
- [36] H. Maki, T. Ikoma, I. Sakaguchi, N. Ohashi, H. Haneda, J. Tanaka, and N. Ichinose, "Control of surface morphology of ZnO (0 0 0 1⁻) by hydrochloric acid etching," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 411, no. 1, pp. 91–95, May 2002.
- [37] S. C. Chang, D. B. Hicks, and R. C. Laugal, "Patterning of zinc oxide thin films," in *Proc. IEEE Solid-State Sens. Actuator Workshop*, Hilton Head Island, SC, USA, Jun. 21–25, 1992, pp. 41–45.
- [38] J. Sun, J. Bian, H. Liang, J. Zhao, L. Hu, Z. Zhao, W. Liu, and G. Du, "Realization of controllable etching for ZnO film by NH_4Cl aqueous solution and its influence on optical and electrical properties," *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, vol. 253, no. 11, pp. 5161–5165, Mar. 2007.



Mahanth Prasad received the M.Sc. degree in electronics from Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, India, in 1998, the M.Tech. degree in instrumentation from Panjab University, Chandigarh, India, in 2003, and the Ph.D. degree in electronics and communication engineering from Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, India in 2013.

In 2003, he was an Engineer (Trainee) in diffusion area with the Continental Device India Ltd., New Delhi, India. In 2005, he was a Scientist B with the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research-Central Electronics Engineering Research Institute, Pilani, India, where he is currently a Scientist with the Microelectromechanical System (MEMS) and Microsensors Group. He has published 23 research papers in various reputed international and national journals and conference proceedings. His current research interests include the development of zinc-oxide-based MEMS acoustic sensors, process development for MEMS devices, microhotplates for gas sensing applications, and MEMS technology-based microsensors.



Vineet Sahula (M'92–SM'04) obtained the Bachelors degree in electronics (honors) from Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, India, in 1987 and the Masters degree in integrated electronics and circuits and the Ph.D. degree from the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, India, in 1989 and 2001, respectively.

In 1990, he was a Faculty Member with the Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, where he is currently the Head of the Department of Electronics and Communications Engineering. He

has 72 research papers in reputed journals and conference proceedings to his credit. His research interests are into system level design, modeling and synthesis for analog and digital systems, and computer-aided design for VLSI and microelectromechanical systems.

Dr. Sahula has served on the Technical Program Committee of the VLSI Design and Test Symposium, India, from 1998 to 2013. He has also served on organizing committee as the Fellowship Chair of the 22nd IEEE International Conference on VLSI Design, India, in 2009. He is a Life Fellow of IETE and IE, a Life Member of IMAPS, and a Member of ACM SIGDA.



Vinod Kumar Khanna received the M.Sc. degree in physics from the University of Lucknow, Lucknow, India, in 1975 and the Ph.D. degree in physics from Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, India, in 1988. He has been with the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)-Central Electronics Engineering Research Institute (CEERI), Pilani, India, for the last 33 years, where he has been involved in research on the design, fabrication, and characterization of power semiconductor devices and sensors.

He is currently the Chief Scientist and the Head of the Microelectromechanical System (MEMS) and Microsensors Group, CSIR-CEERI, where he is also a Faculty Member (Professor, Academy CSIR). He has been involved in different research and development projects on thin-film humidity sensors, high-voltage TV deflection transistors, power Darlingtons transistors for ac motor drives, fast-switching thyristors, high-current and high-voltage rectifiers, neutron dosimetry diodes, power DMOSFET and IGBT, PMOSFET gamma ray dosimeter, MEMS technology-based microsensors, ion-sensitive field-effect transistors, ISFET-based chemical and biosensors, capacitive MEMS ultrasonic transducer, pressure sensors, MEMS gyroscopes, and MEMS hotplate gas sensors. His depositions include Technical University, Darmstadt, Germany, in 1999, Kurt-Schwabe-Institut für Mess-und Sensortechnik e.V. Meinsberg, Meinsberg, Germany, in 2008, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Novosibirsk, Russia, in 2009, and Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Povo, Trento, Italy, 2011. He has authored seven books, contributed five chapters to edited books, and published 140 research papers in various reputed international and national journals and conference proceedings. He participated and presented two research papers in the 1986 IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, in September to October 1986. He is the holder of three patents.