

Plasma-Catalytic Reforming of Biofuels and Diesel Fuel

Oleg A. Nedybaliuk, Valeriy Ya. Chernyak, Igor I. Fedirchuk, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*,
Valentina P. Demchina, Volodymyr S. Popkov, Mykola V. Bogaenko, Vitaliy V. Iukhymenko,
Nikolay V. Klochok, Evgen V. Martysh, Valeriy A. Bortyshevsky, Raisa V. Korzh,
Semen V. Dragnev, Olena V. Prysiazhna, and Volodymyr O. Shapoval

Abstract—The replacement of fossil hydrocarbons with the renewable biomass alternatives is an inevitable requirement in our transition toward a sustainable economy. However, traditional petrochemical technologies are not designed to operate using biomass raw materials. Thus, the development of new hydrocarbon processing methods is essential. This paper deals with the study of the hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming of liquid hydrocarbons. The system for the reforming of liquid hydrocarbons, which used low-power rotating gliding discharge as a plasma generator, was studied. Sunflower oil ($C_{17}H_{33}COOH$) and ethanol (C_2H_5OH) have been used as model oxygen-containing hydrocarbons and diesel fuel ($C_{16}H_{34}$) has been used as a model hydrocarbon without oxygen. Reforming products have been analyzed using mass spectrometry and gas chromatography, and the combustion of produced syngas was studied. The values of the reforming efficiency, the ratio between the chemical energy of the produced syngas and the electric energy spent on the plasma generation, and the efficiency of the produced syngas combustion in the standard water heaters were obtained.

Index Terms—Atmospheric-pressure plasmas, biomass, plasma chemistry, plasma-catalytic reforming, rotating gliding discharge.

I. INTRODUCTION

IT IS common knowledge that the combustion of fossil hydrocarbons enhances the greenhouse effect due to the emission of CO_2 into the atmosphere. In addition, the extraction of fossil hydrocarbons is accompanied by methane emission, which has 20 times higher global warming potential than CO_2 [1]. Therefore, there is a growing interest in renewable hydrocarbon biofuels produced from plants or biomass.

Manuscript received July 20, 2016; revised September 9, 2016 and April 28, 2017; accepted May 21, 2017. Date of publication June 6, 2017; date of current version July 7, 2017. This work was funded via grant 0116U002531 issued by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, and in part by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine. (*Corresponding author: Igor I. Fedirchuk.*)

O. A. Nedybaliuk, V. Ya. Chernyak, I. I. Fedirchuk, V. V. Iukhymenko, N. V. Klochok, E. V. Martysh, O. V. Prysiazhna, and V. O. Shapoval are with the Department of Radio Physics, Electronics and Computer Systems, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine (e-mail: oanedybaliuk@gmail.com; chernyak_v@ukr.net; igor.fedirchuk@univ.net.ua).

V. P. Demchina is with The Gas Institute of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 03113 Kyiv, Ukraine.

V. S. Popkov and M. V. Bogaenko are with the Institute for Problems in Materials Science, National Academy of Science of Ukraine, 03113 Kyiv, Ukraine.

V. A. Bortyshevsky and R. V. Korzh are with the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 03113 Kyiv, Ukraine.

S. V. Dragnev is with the National University of Bioresources and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, 03041 Kyiv, Ukraine.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPS.2017.2709348

Biofuels still release CO_2 during combustion, but plants absorb it during their growth, creating a biomass-to-bio-fuel CO_2 cycle. Ethanol and biodiesel are the most common examples of such biofuels.

However, nearly 20% of the products formed during the biodiesel production are a waste, with bioglycerin as its main component. Bioglycerin combustion causes the formation of harmful substances, such as acrolein [2]. The large-scale production of biodiesel raises the problem of utilization and disposal of bioglycerin. Thus, the new approach has been suggested to solve this problem—the direct reforming of the renewable raw material into syngas. Syngas can be burned to generate heat or used in the production of synthetic fuels, such as dimethyl ether (DME), and valuable organic chemical compounds, such as detergents, adhesives, or pesticides. Such an approach makes it possible to avoid the problems connected with the production and disposal of biodiesel production waste. Thermochemical and catalytic conversion methods for the production of syngas from the renewable hydrocarbons are intensely studied in countries with the access to a large amount of waste biomass [3]–[6].

The use of dynamic plasma systems for the conversion of hydrocarbon raw materials into syngas is one of the most promising directions in modern plasma chemistry [7]–[11]. Dynamic plasma systems with vortex [12]–[14] and reverse vortex [15], [16] gas flows have additional factors that strongly affect the energy parameters of plasma in contrast to classical gas discharges. As a source of active particles, plasma can initiate and significantly accelerate plasma-chemical conversion reactions. The plasma reforming of hydrocarbons [16]–[19] is not economically efficient [16] compared to hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming [7], [16], [20]–[24], where plasma is used only as a catalyst [16], [25], [26]. Plasma catalysis can increase the hydrocarbons reforming efficiency into syngas [27]. In addition, it is important to create conditions for the generation of the nonequilibrium plasma to increase the reforming selectivity. The use of hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming, during which only the oxidant is activated by the discharge, provides both high energy efficiency and nonequilibrium plasma generation [25], [27].

The main goal of this paper is to determine the effectiveness of reforming renewable and heavy fossil hydrocarbons using plasma-catalytic reforming system. Additionally, we aim to determine the possibility of using the gaseous reforming products in the standard heating devices.




Fig. 1. Schematic of (a) experimental setup and (b) plasma system with rotating gliding discharge and solid electrodes. 1—discharge chamber. 2—reaction chamber. 3—cooler. 4—condenser. 5—HV electrode/anode. 6—metal wall of discharge chamber. 7—ceramic sleeve. 8—metal flange. 9—grounded metal flange/cathode. 10—stainless-steel sleeve. 11 and 12—oxidant injection channels. 12—direction of vortex flow. 13—plasma torch. 14—reaction chamber wall. 15—external heater. 16—hydrocarbon injection channel. 17—oxidant injection channel. 18—channel for directing flow. 19—direction of vortex flow. 20—exit for reforming products. 22—valve. 23—fluorocarbon tube. 24—metal nozzle. 25—transverse discharge. 26—syngas flame.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A three-component experimental setup was used to study the hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming of biofuels. The setup consisted of the system for the reforming of hydrocarbon into syngas, systems for syngas cooling and condensed liquid collection, and a syngas combustion system [Fig. 1(a)].

The reforming system consisted of a discharge chamber (1) with low-power rotating gliding discharge with solid electrodes and a reaction chamber with an external heater (2). The combustion of syngas was done in the open airspace and was sustained by the plasma of transverse dc discharge (23–25). Both discharge and reaction chambers [Fig. 1(b)] are made of stainless steel and have cylindrical shape. The reforming system does not have water-cooling, and thus it is possible to avoid large temperature gradients along the wall of the reaction chamber.

The discharge chamber is 90 mm in diameter and 35 mm in height. Lower and upper parts of the reaction chamber are tightly closed with metal flanges. Cylindrical T-shaped electrode (5) is introduced into the discharge chamber (6) through a dielectric ceramic sleeve (7) located at the lower metal flange (8) aperture. This electrode is under high potential and used as an anode. The interelectrode distance can be changed by moving the anode along the chamber axis. The upper metal flange (9) is grounded and used as a cathode. Both electrodes are made of stainless steel.

The initial distance between two electrodes is 1.5 mm. The upper metal flange (9) has a stainless-steel sleeve (10) placed in the center. The center of the sleeve (10) has an aperture with a 3.5-mm nozzle inserted in it. One end of the discharge channel glides along the surface of the nozzle and the upper flange (9). Oxidant flow is injected through a channel (11) of 4 mm in diameter with a speed of 19.9 m/s, tangent to the sidewall of the discharge chamber (6), forming clockwise

vortex flow (12). A plasma torch (13) is introduced into the reaction chamber (14), which temperature can be maintained in the range of 100 °C–900 °C by either a heating element (15) or the complete combustion of a part of the hydrocarbon.

The temperature of reaction chamber outer wall [Fig. 1(b)–14] at both top (T_T) and bottom (T_B) is measured by two thermocouples. The mixture of hydrocarbons and distilled water steam is introduced through a channel (16) and oxidant is introduced through 4-mm channel (17) with a velocity of 5.7 m/s, both tangentially to the sidewall of the reaction chamber and along a channel (18), forming a “tornado”-type [23] reverse vortex flow (19). The rotating mixture of hydrocarbons, water steam, and oxidant moves to the bottom of the reaction chamber and toward its central axis. The plasma-activated oxidant, which is injected into the reaction chamber along its axis, interacts with the hydrocarbon-containing mixture and initiates reforming. Reforming products move upward toward the exit from the reaction chamber. The reaction chamber height is 100 mm and its diameter is 42 mm.

Syngas exits the reaction chamber through a channel of 6 mm in diameter (20) and enters a cooler (3). The cooler comprises a 1-m-long stainless-steel pipe with the inner diameter of 6 mm, which is submerged in 1 L of flowing water at room temperature. There is a condenser (4) installed at the cooler outlet to collect condensed liquid. The condensed liquid can be gathered through a valve (22), but it has not been analyzed for the operating modes presented in this paper. The temperature of cooled gaseous reforming products after they leave the cooler is no more than 30 °C. Syngas flow at the cooler output has been measured by the rotameters (Dwyer RMA-22-SSV and RMA-23-SSV) with $\pm 4\%$ accuracy.

Gas chromatography results showed that the density of gas obtained during reforming is approximately 10% lower




Fig. 2. Open air combustion of syngas (≈ 10 kW) in the air, supported by the transverse dc discharge (≈ 25 W).

than the air density. To compensate for the density difference, obtained flow rates of produced gas were multiplied by 1.05—a correction factor calculated per [28]. Standard 0.5-L glass flasks have been used to sample syngas for the analysis of its composition using mass spectrometer and gas chromatograph. Gas chromatography has been performed using Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph with separate columns for the detection of light gasses and hydrocarbons, which has $<0.1\%$ measurement error.



Obtained syngas left the system through a fluorocarbon tube (23) and was supplied to a combustion device. Produced gas was burned to avoid syngas accumulation and ensure its safe disposal, allowing the system to operate continuously for a long period of time. Syngas was combusted in the open air, using a metal nozzle (24) which released produced gas into the plasma channel of a transverse discharge (25) (Fig. 2). Additionally, syngas was combusted in the standard Navien Ace-20K and Aston OM-W42 (10 L) water heaters, which are designed to burn natural or liquefied petroleum gas.

The BP-100 dc power supplies (21) with an open circuit voltage of 7 kV were used in both discharge circuits. With the ballast resistance of 4–120 kOhm, the current of stable discharge is 20–400 mA. Reforming of the model hydrocarbons has been conducted at the current of 75 mA and the voltage of 0.9 kV. During the hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming of hydrocarbons, the temperature of the reaction chamber was maintained in 450 °C–500 °C range. There were two ways to preheat the reaction chamber: using the external heating element or doing the complete combustion of ethanol in the reaction chamber.

The preheating of the system using 300-W external heating elements took 45 min. The system heating time when using ethanol combustion, which is equal to the power of 2 kW, maintained by the discharge in the reaction chamber was 10 min. After the reforming chamber has been heated to the required temperature, external heating was turned OFF and hydrocarbon reforming was initiated. The syngas samples for


the gas chromatography were gathered 5 min after the start of hydrocarbons reforming process.

Sunflower oil ($C_{17}H_{33}COOH$) and ethanol (C_2H_5OH) have been used as model oxygen-containing hydrocarbons and diesel fuel ($C_{16}H_{34}$) has been used as model hydrocarbon without oxygen. Air has been used as the model oxidant. In further calculations, the chemical composition of diesel was considered being identical to hexadecane ($C_{16}H_{34}$), which is often used as a substitute for diesel fuel [30]. Air and hydrocarbon flows have been chosen to match the stoichiometry of the partial oxidation reactions. Examples of these reactions for ethanol and diesel with corresponding enthalpy changes ΔH are as follows:




Diesel fuel and sunflower oil were introduced into the reaction chamber mixed with overheated water steam. The addition of the overheated steam reduces the amount of soot and assists the introduction of hydrocarbons into the reactor considering their high boiling points and high viscosity.

Soot removal occurs due to the reaction



Water gas shift reaction is possible due to H_2O presence



All characteristic parameters of the chemical substances and reactions used in this paper have been obtained from the NIST database.

III. RESULTS

Different H_2/CO ratios of syngas are required for different applications. For example, H_2/CO ratio of 2/1 is needed to synthesize methanol, and 1/1 to synthesize acetic acid or methyl formate [31]. The fuel cells need as much H_2 in syngas as possible. If the syngas is needed to generate heat, the mixture of H_2 and CO with light C_xH_y is suitable.

Fig. 3 shows the flames of open air combustion of syngas, which was produced from diesel fuel, sunflower oil, and ethanol. Diesel fuel, sunflower oil, and ethanol have been introduced in the amounts equal to the power of 5 kW, which releases at their full combustion. The resulted diesel fuel flow was 7.3 ± 0.36 mL/min, sunflower oil flow was 9.1 ± 0.45 mL/min, and ethanol flow was 13.5 ± 0.4 mL/min. The temperature of injected hydrocarbons was 25 °C. The flow of distilled water steam has been selected to fit the optimal mode of operation in terms of reforming efficiency. The needed amount equals to the half of the amount of water that is necessary for the reaction stoichiometry in order for all CO to be substituted with H_2 and CO_2 in the case of diesel. This condition is satisfied by 4.4 ± 0.22 g/min flow of




Fig. 3. Photographs of the flames of open combustion of syngas produced from (a) diesel fuel, (b) sunflower oil, and (c) ethanol.

TABLE I
COMPONENTS (% OF VOLUMETRIC) OF SYNGAS PRODUCED DURING PLASMA-CATALYTIC REFORMING OF DIESEL FUEL AND ETHANOL

	H ₂	CO	CH ₄	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₂	C ₂ H ₆	C ₃ H ₆	C ₃ H ₈	iC ₄ H ₁₀	nC ₄ H ₁₀	N ₂	CO ₂	H ₂ O	O ₂	C ₂ H ₅ OH	C ₁₆ H ₃₄	Σ
Diesel fuel	3.55	0.00	0.00	3.85	1.19	0.24	0.91	0.00	0.32	0.31	75.62	8.89	2.33	2.79	0.00	0.00	100
Ethanol	14.01	16.29	12.17	4.49	0.80	1.09	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.05	44.79	4.19	1.51	0.00	0.29	0.00	100

water steam. The same water steam flow has been introduced in the cases of sunflower oil and ethanol as in the case of diesel fuel. The flow of injected air was ≈ 25 L/min in the cases of diesel fuel and sunflower oil and 12.5 L/min in the case of ethanol. The same air flow has been introduced in the case of sunflower oil as in the case of diesel fuel, because sunflower oil has approximately the same amount of carbon atoms not bound with oxygen atoms (17 atoms per molecule) as diesel fuel (16 atoms per molecule). The temperature of injected steam was ≈ 250 °C and the temperature of the air was 25 °C. The reaction chamber temperatures were $T_T = 500$ °C and $T_B = 480$ °C. The flame of syngas produced during the plasma-catalytic reforming of sunflower oil [Fig. 3(b)] and ethanol [Fig. 3(c)] is more stable during the combustion and contain much less soot than the flame of syngas produced from the diesel fuel at the same conditions [Fig. 3(a)]. We did not conduct the analysis of the soot formation in the reaction chamber during this study.

Table I shows the main components of syngas formed during the plasma-catalytic reforming of diesel fuel and ethanol. Diesel fuel and ethanol have been introduced in the amounts equal to the power of 2 kW, which releases at their full combustion. Resulted ethanol flow was 5.4 ± 0.2 mL/min and diesel fuel flow was 3.4 ± 0.2 mL/min. The temperature of injected hydrocarbons was 25 °C. The flow of the distilled water steam has been selected to fit the optimal mode of operation in terms of reforming efficiency. Required amount equals to a half of the amount of water that is necessary for the reaction stoichiometry for all CO to be substituted with H₂ and CO₂. The flow of water steam was 1.7 ± 0.1 mL/min in the case of ethanol and 1.8 ± 0.1 mL/min in the case of diesel. The flow of injected air was 5 L/min in the case of ethanol and 10 L/min in the case of diesel. The temperature of injected steam was ≈ 250 °C and the temperature of the air

was 25 °C. Reaction chamber temperatures were $T_T = 500$ °C and $T_B = 480$ °C.

The amount of N₂ in reforming products is considered the same as in the reagents due to the absence of NO and NO₂ in the mass spectra of reforming products. Measurement error of the mass spectrometer that was used in this paper is less than 1%. We use the process of the partial oxidation of hydrocarbons to produce syngas, and the energy needed to heat the reagents to the desired temperature is taken from the exothermal reactions that occur inside the reactor. CO₂ is always present in the reforming products, probably as the product of water gas shift reaction, complete oxidation of hydrocarbon, or oxidation of CO.

There has been no CO observed in the cases of diesel fuel. This indicates that all produced CO reacted with introduced water in the reaction (4). Syngas produced from ethanol contains almost four times more hydrogen than syngas produced from diesel fuel, which explains the flame appearance on the photographs in Fig. 3. The amount of CO₂ is higher in the case of diesel fuel. A mixture of the reforming products presented in Table I contains H₂ and CO₂ but has no CO in the case of diesel. Further understanding of this fact needs additional study of the diesel fuel and ethanol conversion kinetics.

Under the assumption that the ratio between oxygen O₂ and nitrogen N₂ in the air is $\approx 1:4$, it turns out that in case of diesel fuel $\approx 14\%$ of the oxygen from the initial amount did not interact. This may occur because of the various additives, which are added to commercial diesel fuel to offer better engine performance, fuel handling, and stability, and increase contaminant control [29]. The N₂ volumetric fraction in the gas obtained during the diesel fuel conversion is approximately 1.7 times higher than in the gas obtained by the reforming of ethanol, which may be due to the presence of oxygen in the ethanol.

TABLE II
THEORETICAL POSSIBLE YIELD OF METHANOL AND DME FROM 1 L OF SYNGAS PRODUCED DURING PLASMA-CATALYTIC REFORMING OF DIESEL FUEL AND ETHANOL

Syngas production feedstock	Diesel fuel	Ethanol
Hydrogen yield (g/L)	0.0032	0.0125
Methanol yield (g/L)	0.0254	0.1000
DME yield (g/L)	0.0182	0.0718

The theoretical yields of methanol and DME from 1 L of syngas produced during the plasma-catalytic reforming of diesel fuel and ethanol have been calculated. A mixture of H₂ and CO₂ can be converted, if necessary, into methanol and DME via the second stage of processing and under appropriate catalyst.

Methanol can be synthesized from the produced gas mixture via the reactions with carbon dioxide



Reaction (6) uses less hydrogen and thus offers higher methanol yield. Based on this, reaction (6) was the one used for the calculation of the maximal theoretical yield of methanol from the conversion products. Two moles of hydrogen can yield one mole of methanol. DME can be produced from H₂ + CO₂ mixture or from methanol via reactions as follows:



Reaction (8) offers higher DME yield, because it has lower hydrogen consumption; therefore, it was used to calculate the maximal theoretical DME yield from produced gas. One mole of DME is produced from two moles of methanol. Using reactions (6) and (8), one mole of hydrogen can produce 0.5 moles of methanol or 0.25 moles of DME. Based on the hydrogen content in the synthesis gas (Table I), we can find the maximal theoretical yield of methanol and DME from 1 L of produced synthesis gas. The actual yields of methanol and DME will be lower than the theoretical values and will significantly depend on the productivity of the catalyst used for reactions (6) and (8).

Table II holds the calculated values of methanol and DME yields in the case of converting 1 L of H₂+CO₂ mixture without taking other components into account. Flammable components C₂H₆ and C₂H₄ cannot be converted into methanol and DME. They can be separated before the methanol/DME synthesis. Alternatively, they can be oxidized/carbonylated to oxygen-containing compounds, such as C₂-alcohols or aldehydes, along with H₂ + CO₂ mixture during the second stage of processing. The calculation results presented in Table II show that the methanol and DME yield from 1 L of syngas in the case of ethanol is four times greater than in the case of diesel fuel. Therefore, ethanol is more promising for the synthesis of liquid hydrocarbon.

Syngas produced from the ethanol (96% ethyl alcohol) was used to determine the energy conversion efficiency of the standard water heater (η_{heater}) when using syngas as a




Fig. 4. Dependence of η_{heater} during the combustion of syngas with turned OFF FD fan (markers). Dashed line shows the value of η_{heater} for the combustion of natural gas with turned ON FD fan, which was provided in the water heater specification.

fuel. η_{heater} equals to a ratio between the change of the heat energy of water after passing the heater (P_{water}) and the chemical energy of syngas (P_{syngas}) calculated using gas chromatography

$$\eta_{\text{heater}} = \frac{P_{\text{water}}}{P_{\text{syngas}}} * 100\%. \quad (9)$$

The power (amount of energy in a unit of time) P_{water} spent on heating the water is determined from the expression

$$P_{\text{water}} = G_{\text{water}} \cdot \Delta T_{\text{water}} \cdot c_{\text{water}} \quad (10)$$

where G_{water} is a water flow in L/min, ΔT_{water} is the increase of water temperature in the water heater, and c_{water} is the heat capacity of water. The temperature of the water was determined using the thermocouples.

The power released during the combustion of syngas P_{syngas} (amount of heat released in a unit of time during the complete oxidation of the syngas in the combustion chamber of the water heater) is determined using

$$P_{\text{syngas}} = G_{\text{syngas}} \cdot \sum_i \text{Syngas}_i \cdot \text{LHV}(\text{Syngas}_i) \quad (11)$$

where G_{syngas} is the flow of syngas in L/min, Syngas_i is the volumetric fraction of the i th syngas component, and $\text{LHV}(\text{Syngas}_i)$ is the lower heating value of the unit of volume of the i th syngas component (the heat released during materials combustion without taking into account the heat released during the water condensation). The syngas composition was determined using gas chromatography.

Fig. 4 shows the common dependence of the energy conversion efficiency of the Navien water heater with turned OFF forced draft (FD) fan and with the nozzles for natural gas combustion on the syngas power P_{syngas} calculated from (11).

The provided dependence shows that with the sufficient supply of air the efficiency of syngas combustion ($P_{\text{syngas}} = 1.7$ kW) is not lower than during the combustion of natural gas. The decreasing dependence of η_{heater} on syngas power can be caused by the insufficient amount of air that is supplied to the water heater.

Reforming efficiency η can be calculated using the lower heating values of syngas components and hydrocarbon [32], [33]

$$\eta = \frac{G_{\text{syngas}} \cdot \sum_i \text{Syngas}_i \cdot \text{LHV}(\text{Syngas}_i)}{G_{\text{HC}} \cdot \text{LHV}(\text{HC}) + \text{Plasma power}} \cdot 100\% \quad (12)$$

where $\text{LHV}(\text{Syngas}_i)$ is the lower heating value of produced syngas components, G_{HC} is the hydrocarbon flow, $\text{LHV}(\text{HC})$ is the lower heating value of the hydrocarbon, and *Plasma power* is the power consumption of electric discharge used for the plasma generation. $\text{LHV}(\text{Syngas}_i)$ values that are used in our calculations consider only the LHVs of the gas-phase products and does not include the LHVs of the liquid condensed after the cooler, which is considered as an unreacted raw material. Further calculations showed that the efficiency of the system for hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming of ethanol is 87%, which is significantly higher than 70% obtained in similar studies [34]. The total amount of energy used for steam production during the experiment was less than 300 ± 15 W and did not depend on the composition and quantity of the raw materials. The addition of this value to the plasma power in the reforming efficiency equation (12) results in the reduction of η from 87% to 83%. However, similar to other papers in the field, this decrease is not included in the calculations, because it represents the efficiency of a steam generator and has no direct ties to the efficiency of the reforming system.

The ratio α between the chemical energy of produced syngas and the electric energy spent on plasma generation is calculated as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{G_{\text{syngas}} \cdot \sum_i \text{Syngas}_i \cdot \text{LHV}(\text{Syngas}_i)}{\text{Plasma power}} \quad (13)$$

The ratio between the chemical energy of produced syngas and the electric energy spent on plasma generation is $\alpha = 70$ for plasma-catalytic reforming of sunflower oil (in 5-kW mode). The calculation of α for ethanol in the same mode gives the value of $\alpha = 60$.

It is clear from (8) and (9) that the reforming efficiency (η) and the ratio between the chemical energy of produced syngas and the electric energy spent on plasma generation (α) depend on electrical energy put into the discharge that generates plasma (Plasma power). A study of η and α dependences on the discharge power in the plasma generator has been conducted. During the study, the input ethanol flow was 1296 mL/h and corresponded to 8-kW operation mode.

Fig. 5 shows the change in the composition of obtained syngas depending on the discharge power for the modes used for the determination of α and η .

Gas chromatography did not detect oxygen in the collected samples of the ethanol reforming products. The high amount of CO compared to the syngas from diesel fuel and sunflower oil comes from the lack of reaction (4) due to the small amount of water in the reagents.

The response time of the reforming process on the state of the discharge was determined from the visual observations of the flames of combusted syngas. The disappearance and appearance of the flame have been considered as the marks of the stop and start of the reforming process. For all the




Fig. 5. Dependence of the composition of syngas obtained from 1296-mL/h ethanol flow, which corresponds to 8-kW operation mode on the discharge power.

studied values of discharge power, the discharge shutdown caused almost immediate (in less than 5 s) stop of the reforming process, which led to the disappearance of the gaseous products and condensation of all raw material introduced into the system in the condenser. The time between the start of discharge and the start of reforming was less than 4 s. The syngas residence time in the gas line between the reactor and the combustion device is approximately 2–3 s.

Syngas composition shows no significant change with the change of discharge power. Reforming did not occur with the discharge turned OFF. During the plasma reforming, the hydrocarbons are blown through discharge together with the oxidant, and the change in discharge power significantly affects the syngas composition [18], [35]. In our case, the plasma-catalytic reforming of hydrocarbons was conducted and only a part of oxidant is blown through discharge while the hydrocarbon is introduced into the reaction chamber along with the rest of the oxidant. However, the discharge power significantly affects the ratio between the chemical energy of the produced syngas and the electric energy spent on the plasma generation and the reforming efficiency.

The calculated values of η and α (see Fig. 6) show the sharp increase of the ratio between the chemical energy of produced syngas and the electric energy spent on plasma generation with the decrease of discharge power. However, the reforming efficiency is reduced at the same time. Therefore, the optimal studied mode of ethanol plasma-catalytic reforming is at $\eta \geq 85\%$ and $\alpha \approx 100$. Fig. 6 shows that $\alpha \approx 100$ when $\eta \approx 80\%$, which makes the studied system competitive with other existing analogs. For the operating modes with $\alpha \approx 100$, the energy yield of hydrogen is ≈ 300 g(H₂)/kWh, which is higher than the known values for plasma reforming [36]. Plasma-catalytic reforming of hydrocarbons makes possible the separate control of the syngas composition using the reaction chamber parameters and of the ratio between the chemical energy of the produced syngas and the electric energy spent on the plasma generation and the reforming efficiency using the discharge power.




Fig. 6. Dependence of (a) the ratio between the chemical energy of the produced syngas and the electric energy spent on the plasma generation (α) and (b) the reforming efficiency (η) on discharge power during 1296 mL/h ethanol flow, which corresponds to 8-kW operating mode.




Fig. 7. Dependence of α and η on the raw materials (ethanol, sunflower oil, and diesel fuel) for the reforming conducted in 8-kW operation mode.

Fig. 7 shows the values of the ratio between the chemical energy of produced syngas and the electric energy spent on plasma generation (α) and the reforming efficiency (η) during the reforming of different raw materials (ethanol, sunflower oil, and diesel fuel) in 8-kW operation mode.

As seen from the provided dependences, the ratio between the chemical energy of produced syngas and the electric energy spent on plasma generation and the reforming efficiency values show the prospects of using plasma-catalytic reforming systems with rotating gliding discharge in industrial processes.

IV. CONCLUSION

The investigation of plasma-catalytic reforming of the liquid oxygen-containing hydrocarbons from the renewable raw materials and of the hydrocarbon without oxygen showed that it has high reforming efficiency and a high ratio between the chemical energy of the produced syngas and the electric energy spent on the plasma generation.

The research proves the possibility of using syngas produced from the renewable raw materials in standard water heaters designed for the combustion of natural and liquefied petroleum gas.

The plasma-catalytic reforming features quick response of the reforming process to the change of the state of discharge. This allows the high level of control over the operation of the reforming systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. M. Fry *et al.*, "The influence of ozone precursor emissions from four world regions on tropospheric composition and radiative climate forcing," *Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, vol. 117, no. 7, p. D07306, 2012.
- [2] A. Czernichowski, "Conversion of waste glycerol into synthesis gas," presented at the 19th Int. Symp. Plasma Chem., Bochum, Germany, 2009.
- [3] C. P. Rodrigues, V. T. da Silva, and M. Schmal, "Partial oxidation of ethanol over cobalt oxide based cordierite monolith catalyst," *Appl. Catal. B, Environ.*, vol. 96, pp. 1–9, Sep. 2010.
- [4] L. V. Mattos and F. B. Noronha, "Partial oxidation of ethanol on supported Pt catalysts," *J. Power Sour.*, vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 10–15, 2005.
- [5] S. Yaman, "Pyrolysis of biomass to produce fuels and chemical feedstocks," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 651–671, 2004.
- [6] J. L. de Oliveira, J. N. da Silva, E. G. Pereira, D. O. Filho, and D. R. Carvalho, "Characterization and mapping of waste from coffee and eucalyptus production in Brazil for thermochemical conversion of energy via gasification," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 21, pp. 52–58, Oct. 2013.
- [7] C. S. Kalra, A. F. Gutsol, and A. A. Fridman, "Gliding arc discharges as a source of intermediate plasma for methane partial oxidation," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 32–41, Feb. 2005.
- [8] J. R. Tavares *et al.*, "Large-scale plasma waste gasification," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 2908–2909, Nov. 2011.
- [9] I. B. Matveev, S. I. Serbin, and N. V. Washchilenko, "Sewage sludge-to-power," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 3876–3880, Dec. 2014.
- [10] A. J. Wu, X. D. Li, L. Chen, C. M. Du, and J. H. Yan, "Investigation of the physical properties in rotating gliding arc discharge with rapeseed oil," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 3219–3223, Sep. 2015.
- [11] R. Mourão, A. R. Marquesi, A. V. Gorbunov, G. P. Filho, A. A. Halinowski, and C. Otani, "Thermochemical assessment of gasification process efficiency of biofuels industry waste with different plasma oxidants," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 43, no. 10, pp. 3760–3767, Oct. 2015.
- [12] A. S. Koroteev, V. M. Mironov, and Y. S. Svircuk, *Plasmatrons: Designs, Characteristics, Computations*. Moscow, Russia: Mashinostrojenie, 1993.
- [13] V. Chernyak, "Gas discharge plasma in dynamics system as a nonequilibrium plasma sources," in *Proc. 3rd Chech-Russian Seminar Electrophys. Thermophys. Process. Low-Temperature Plasma*, 1999, pp. 94–99.
- [14] J.-M. Cormier and I. Rusu, "Syngas production via methane steam reforming with oxygen: Plasma reactors versus chemical reactors," *J. Phys. D, Appl. Phys.*, vol. 34, pp. 2798–2803, Sep. 2001.
- [15] C. S. Kalra *et al.*, "Electrical discharges in the reverse vortex flow—Tornado discharges," in *Proc. Electron. 16th Int. Symp. Plasma Chem.*, 2003, pp. 1–6.
- [16] A. Fridman, *Plasma Chemistry*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.
- [17] V. I. Arkhipenko, S. M. Zgirouski, A. G. Karoza, A. A. Kirillov, and L. V. Simonchik, "Diagnostics of ethanol conversion products by IR absorption spectroscopy," *J. Appl. Spectrosc.*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 99–103, 2013.
- [18] V. Chernyak *et al.*, "Plasma reforming of liquid hydrocarbon in plasma-liquid systems," *Nukleonika*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 301–305, 2012.
- [19] O. A. Nedybaliuk *et al.*, "Reforming of bioethanol in the system with reverse vortex air/CO₂ flow of 'Tornado' type with liquid electrode," *Problems Atomic Sci. Technol.*, vol. 6, pp. 178–180, Sep. 2012.

- [20] A. Czernichowski and K. Wesolowska, "Generation of the synthesis gas from bioethanol," *Preprints Papers Amer. Chem. Soc., Division Fuel Chem.*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 1–8, Apr. 2006.
- [21] A. Czernichowski, M. Czernichowski, and K. Wesolowska, "Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of various carbonaceous feeds into synthesis gas," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Fuel Cell Sci. Eng. Technol.*, Sep. 2004, pp. 669–676.
- [22] L. Bromberg, D. R. Cohn, K. Hadidi, J. Heywood, and A. Rabinovich, "Plasmatron fuel reformer development and internal combustion engine vehicle applications," presented at the Diesel Eng. Emission Reduction (DEER) Workshop, Colorado, CA, USA, 2004.
- [23] C. S. Kalra, "Gliding arc in tornado (GAT) and its application for plasma catalytic partial oxidation of methane," M.S. thesis, College Eng., Drexel Univ., Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2004.
- [24] O. V. Solomenko *et al.*, "Hybrid plasma-catalytic reforming of ethanol aerosol," *Problem Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, pp. 231–234, Oct. 2015.
- [25] V. Y. Chernyak *et al.*, "Plasma catalysis of chemical reactions," *Problems Atomic Sci. Technol.*, vol. 6, pp. 124–129, Sep. 2014.
- [26] V. Y. Chernyak *et al.*, "The problem of chemically active plasma nonequilibrium. Review," *Plasma Phys. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 226–232, 2015.
- [27] O. A. Nedybaliuk *et al.*, "Plasma-catalytic reforming of liquid hydrocarbons," *Problems Atomic Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, pp. 235–238, May 2015.
- [28] (2001). ABB Automation Products GmbH, Goettingen, Germany, *Variable Area Flowmeter. Basic Fundamentals and Descriptions. Technical Specifications, Germany*, accessed on Sep. 6, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://library.e.abb.com/public/c125698f006840e9c1256a7f003f7ae1/D184B003U46.pdf>
- [29] (2007). Chevron Corporation. San Ramon, CA, USA. *Diesel Fuels Technical Review*, accessed on Sep. 6, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.chevron.com/-/media/chevron/operations/documents/diesel-fuel-tech-review.pdf>
- [30] Q. Ming, T. Healey, L. Allen, and P. Irving, "Steam reforming of hydrocarbon fuels," *Catal. Today*, vol. 77, nos. 1–2, pp. 51–64, Sep. 2002.
- [31] X. Tao *et al.*, "CH₄–CO₂ reforming by plasma—Challenges and opportunities," *Progr. Energy Combustion Sci.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 113–124, 2011.
- [32] G. Petitpas, J. D. Rollier, A. Darmon, J. Gonzalez-Aguilar, R. Metkemeijer, and L. Fulcheri, "A comparative study of non-thermal plasma assisted reforming technologies," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 32, pp. 2848–2867, Apr. 2007.
- [33] V. I. Parvulescu, M. Magureanu, and P. Lukes, *Plasma Chemistry and Catalysis in Gases and Liquids*. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley, 2012.
- [34] A. Czernichowski, M. Czernichowski, and P. Czernichowski, "Partial oxidation of fossil and renewable fuels into the synthesis gas," presented at the 17th Int. Symp. Plasma Chem., Toronto, ON, Canada, 2005.
- [35] A. N. Tsybalyuk, D. S. Levko, V. Y. Chernyak, E. V. Martysh, O. A. Nedybalyuk, and E. V. Solomenko, "Influence of the gas mixture temperature on the efficiency of synthesis gas production from ethanol in a nonequilibrium plasma," *Tech. Phys.*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 1138–1143, Aug. 2013.
- [36] J. Mizeraczyk, M. Jasinski, N. Gherardi, E. Marotta, and C. Paradisi, "Plasma processing methods for hydrogen production," *Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 75, no. 2, p. 24702, 2016.



Oleg A. Nedybaliuk was born in Murafa, Ukraine, in 1985. He received the M.S. degree in radio physics and electronics and the Ph.D. degree in plasma physics from the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 2008 and 2012, respectively.

From 2011 to 2012, he was a First-Class Engineer with the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. He was a Junior Researcher from 2012 to 2014. Since 2014, he has been the Head of the Research and Development Sector of the Theory and

Modeling of Plasma Processes, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. His current research interests include plasma-liquid systems, rotating gliding discharge, plasma and plasma-catalytic reforming of liquid hydrocarbons into synthesis gas, optical emission spectroscopy of plasma, plasma-assisted combustion of hydrocarbons, and the influence of plasma on the surface tension of the liquids.



Valeriy Ya. Chernyak was born in Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1948. He received the M.S., Ph.D., D.Sc., and Professor degrees from Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1969, 1973, 2005, and 2008, respectively, all in physics and electronics.

He was conscripted in 1972 and served in the army until 1974. He was an Assistant Professor, an Associate Professor, and a Lecturer with the Faculty of Radio Physics, Electronics and Computer Systems, Taras Shevchenko National University of

Kyiv, where he is currently a Professor. He is also the Head of the Department of Physical Electronics, Plasma Chemical Research Team, Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University. He has authored over 300 publications and presented many invited lectures in international conferences and workshops. His current research interests include nonequilibrium plasma chemistry and its applications, particularly plasma-assisted reforming of liquid hydrocarbons.



Igor I. Fedirchik (GS'17) was born in Kosiv, Ukraine, in 1991. He received the B.S. degree in applied physics and the M.S. degree in radio physics and electronics from the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 2012 and 2014, respectively, where he is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in plasma physics.

He is involved in the research and development of atmospheric-pressure non-thermal plasma systems, especially in plasma-catalytic conversion of renewable hydrocarbons.

Dr. Fedirchik is a member of the American Physics Society.

Valentina P. Demchina was born in Kyiv, Ukraine, 1954. She received the M.S. degree in thermochemical treatment of material from the Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine.

She is currently a Senior Researcher with The Gas Institute of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv. Her current research interest includes gas chromatography analysis of hydrocarbons.



Volodymyr S. Popkov was born in Chernatske, Ukraine, in 1940. He received the M.S. degree in electrical engineering from the Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1968.

He was the Head Project Constructor with the Special Constructor Bureau of Linear Electric Engines and as the Head of the Department of Theory and Calculations. Since 2008, he has been a Lead Engineer with the Institute for Problems in Materials Science, National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kyiv. He holds over 265 patents and publications.

His current research interests include the electro-mechanical devices and alternative energy.



Mykola V. Bogaenko was born in Myrgorod, Ukraine, in 1950. He received the M.S. degree in electrical engineering from the Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1973.

He was the Head Project Constructor with the Special Constructor Bureau of Linear Electric Engines. Since 2008, he has been a Lead Engineer with the Institute for Problems in Materials Science, National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kyiv. He holds over 250 publications, including 162 patents. His current research interests include the electro-mechanical devices and alternative energy.



Vitaliy V. Iukhymenko was born in Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1980. He received the M.S. degree in radio physics and electronics and the Ph.D. degree in plasma physics from the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, in 2002 and 2013, respectively.

From 2002 to 2004 and from 2008 to 2013, he was an Engineer with the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. He was a Junior Researcher from 2013 to 2015. Since 2016, he has been a Researcher with the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. His current research interests include the physics of low-temperature plasma, plasma chemistry, plasma-assisted decomposition of organic pollutants in water solutions, the reforming of bio-fuel in plasma-liquid systems, synthesis of organic compounds, and plasma spectroscopy.



Raisa V. Korzh was born in Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1975. She received the M.S. degree in biology and chemistry from Nizhyn Gogol State University, Nizhyn, Ukraine, in 1997, and the Ph.D. degree in chemistry from the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 2002.

He was an Engineer, a Junior Researcher, and a Researcher with the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, from 1997 to 2008. Since 2009, she has been the Senior Researcher with the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. Her current research interests include catalysis, supercritical fluids, and alternative fuels.



Nikolay V. Klochok was born in Bobrovytsia, Ukraine, in 1961. He received the degree military test engineering from the Alexander Mozhaysky Military Space Academy, Leningrad, Russia, in 1984. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine.

From 1984 to 1990, he was the Head of Launch Team with Baikonur Cosmodrome, Kazakhstan. From 1990 to 1991, he was the Head of the department, Kyivpyrlad, Kyiv. From 1992 to 2008, he ran a private business. From 2008 to 2015, he was a Junior Researcher with the Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv.



Semen V. Dragnev was born in Kryneche, Ukraine, in 1982. He received the M.S. degree and the Ph.D. degree in agricultural machinery engineering from National Agrarian University, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 2004 and 2009, respectively.

He is currently an Associate Professor with the National University of Bioresources and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine. His current research interests include the production and use of bio-fuels and other problems of the agrobiosystems.



Evgen V. Martysh was born in Viliany, Latvia, in 1952. He received the M.S., Ph.D., and D.Sc. degrees in plasma physics from the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1976, 1983, and 2009, respectively.

He is currently the Head of the Department of Medical Radio Physics, Faculty of Radio Physics, Electronics, and Computer Systems, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. He has authored over 100 publications. He holds one patent. His current research interests include the physics of heterophase electric discharge plasmas and their applications.



Olena V. Prysiashna was born in Piskivka, Ukraine, in 1987. She received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in radio physics and electronics and the Ph.D. degree in plasma physics from the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 2008, 2010, and 2014, respectively.

From 2014 to 2015, she was a First-Class Engineer. Since 2015, she has been the Head of the Teaching Laboratory of Medical Radio Physics, Faculty of Radio Physics, Electronics and Computer Systems, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Her current research interests include plasma physics, optical emission spectroscopy, plasma chemistry, plasma diagnostics, plasma-liquid and micro-discharge systems, and systems with secondary discharge.



Valeriy A. Bortyshevsky was born in Chernivtsi, Ukraine, in 1949. He received the M.S. degree from Lviv Polytechnic, Lviv, Ukraine, in 1971, and the Ph.D. degree in chemistry from the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine, in 1985.

From 1975 to 1976, he was conscripted into the army. He was a Lead Engineer, a Junior Researcher, Researcher, and a Senior Researcher with the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, from 1977 to 1995. Since 1995, he has been the Head of the Zeolit Catalysts and Sorbents Laboratory, Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry and Petrochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. His current research interests include catalysis, supercritical fluids, and alternative fuels.



Volodymyr O. Shapoval received the M.Sc. degree in radio-physics and electronics from the National Taras Shevchenko University of Kiev, Kiev, Ukraine, in 2009, and the Ph.D. degree in chemistry from the University of Padova, Padua, Italy.

He was a Research Fellow with the Fluid Flow Machinery Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Gdańsk, Poland. His current research interests include nonthermal plasma technical applications, including electrostatic precipitation, advanced oxidation processes, and plasma fuel reforming, and respective plasma-chemical reactors development.